



# Integrated Performance Report

Published 18 July 2025








### **Icon Guide**

### **Exceptions, Variation and Assurance**

Statistical Control Charts (SPC) are used to define variation and targets to provide assurance. Variation that is deemed outside the defined lower and upper limit will be shown as a red dot. Where available variation is defined using weekly data and if its not available monthly charts have been used. Icons are used following best practice from NHS Digital and adapted to YAS. The definitions for these can be found below.

Variation		Assurance			
		H	?	F	P
Common cause No significant change	Special cause of concerning nature or <b>higher</b> pressure due to (H)igh or (L)ow values	Special cause of improving nature or <b>lower</b> pressure due to (H)igh or (L)ow values	Variation indicates inconsistently passing or falling short of target	Variation indicates consistently (F)alling short of target	Variation indicates consistently (P)assing target
Variation icons:	Blue indicates wh	concerning <b>special ca</b> ere improvement app significant change ( <b>cc</b>	ears to lie.		
Assurance icons:	Orange indicates that you would consistently expect to <b>miss</b> a target. Blue indicates that you would consistently expect to <b>achieve</b> a target. Grey indicates that sometimes the target will be achieved and sometimes it will not, due to random variation. In a RAG report, this indicator would flip between red and green.				

# **Table of Contents**




Strategy and Priorities Overview Service Transformation & System Pressures Transformation Programme Dashboards KPI Exceptions (999, IUC, PTS, Quality and Workforce) Workforce Summary Finance Summary Patient Demand Summary Patient Experience (Quality) Patient Clinical Effectiveness









Patient Outcomes Summary

Patient Safety (Quality)

Fleet and Estates

### **Strategy, Ambitions & Key Priorities**

Our Purpose	To provide and co-ordinate safe, effective, responsive and patient-centred out-of-hospital emergency, urgent and non-emergency care, so all our patients can have the best possible experience and outcomes
Our Vision	What we want to achieve: Great Care   Great People   Great Partner
Our Values	What do we want to be and what behaviours do we expect? <b>Kindness   Respect   Teamwork   Improvement</b>
YAS Together	A way of working collaboratively to achieve our vision: <b>Care   Lead   Grow   Excel   Everyone</b>
Our Enabling Plans	The drivers of success: Clinical and Quality   People   Partnership   Sustainable Services

### Today





# **4 Bold Ambitions**

### **Our Patients**

Our ambition is to deliver exceptional patient-centred out-of-hospital emergency, urgent and non-emergency care, which is safe, kind and responsive, seamlessly integrating services and utilising technology to deliver a high-quality patient experience.

### **Our People**


Our ambition is to be a **diverse and inclusive organisation** with a culture of continuous improvement, where everyone feels valued, included, proud to work and can thrive.

### **Our Partners**

Our ambition is to be a **collaborative, integral and influential partner** across a joined-up health and social care network that works preventatively, reduces inequality and improves population health outcomes, supporting all our communities.

### **Our Planet and Pounds**

Our ambition is to be a **responsible and sustainable** organisation in the use of our financial and physical resources, reducing our environmental impact and ensuring the most effective use of all our resources.



2029

### **999 IPR Key Exceptions - June 25**

Indicator	Target	Actual	Variance	Assurance
999 - Answer Mean		00:00:07	٩	
999 - Answer 95th Percentile		00:00:49		
999 - AHT		00:05:57		
999 - Calls Ans in 5 sec	95.0%	86.8%		
999 - C1 Mean (T < 7 Mins)	00:07:00	00:07:46	<b>(</b>	
999 - C1 90th (T < 15 Mins)	00:15:00	00:13:25		
999 - C2 Mean (T < 18 Mins)	00:18:00	00:26:33		
999 - C2 90th (T < 40 Mins)	00:40:00	00:56:11		
999 - C3 Mean (T < 1 Hour)	01:00:00	01:19:06		E.
999 - C3 90th (T < 2 Hour)	02:00:00	03:05:01		E
999 - C1 Responses > 15 Mins		554	<b>(</b>	
999 - C2 Responses > 80 Mins		1,302		
999 - Job Cycle Time		01:42:36	<b>(</b>	
999 - Avg Hospital Turnaround	00:30:00	00:41:45	<b>(</b>	
999 - Avg Hospital Handover	00:15:00	00:19:51	<b>(</b>	
999 - Avg Hospital Crew Clear	00:15:00	00:22:00		
999 - Total lost handover time		1,483		
999 - Crew clear over 30 mins %		23.7%		
999 - C1%		13.3%		
999 - C2%		59.2%		

#### **Exceptions - Comments (Director Responsible - Nick Smith)**

**Call Answer** - The call answer figures are for Trust level only and do not change based on the area selection. The mean call answer was 7 seconds for June, an increase from May of 4 seconds. The median remained the same, and the 90th increased by 18 seconds. The 95th increased from 13 seconds in May to 49 seconds in June, and the 99th increased from 1 minute 24 seconds to 1 minute 57 seconds.

**Cat 1-4 Performance** - The mean performance time for Cat1 worsened from May by 4 seconds and the 90th percentile improved by 2 seconds. The mean performance time for Cat2 worsened from May by 59 seconds and the 90th percentile worsened by 1 minute 33 seconds. Compared to June of the previous year, the Cat1 mean improved by 12 seconds, the Cat1 90th percentile improved by 28 seconds, the Cat2 mean improved by 4 minutes 10 seconds and the Cat2 90th percentile improved by 12 minutes 30 seconds.

**Call Acuity** - The proportion of Cat1 and Cat2 incidents was 72.5% in June (13.3% Cat1, 59.2% Cat2) after a 0.0 percentage point (pp) increase compared to May (0.5 pp decrease in Cat1 and 0.5 pp increase in Cat2). Comparing against June for the previous year, Cat1 proportion decreased by 3.2 pp and Cat2 proportion decreased by 0.5 pp.

**Responses Tail (C1 and C2)** - The number of Cat1 responses greater than the 90th percentile target decreased in June, with 554 responses over this target. This is 13 (2.3%) less compared to May. The number for last month was 28.5% lower than June 2024. The number of Cat2 responses greater than 2x 90th percentile target increased from May by 119 responses (10.1%). This is a 48.1% decrease from June 2024.

Hospital & Job Cycle Time - Last month the average handover time decreased by 1 minute 9 seconds and overall turnaround time decreased by 2 minutes 52 seconds. The number of conveyances to ED was 1.1% lower than in May. Overall, the average job cycle time decreased by 2 minutes 54 seconds from May.


June 2024.


Outcomes - Comparing incident outcome proportions within 999 for June against May, the proportion of hear & treat decreased by 0.5 percentage points (pp), see treat & refer decreased by 0.1 pp and see treat & convey increased by 0.6 pp. The proportion of incidents with conveyance to ED increased by 1.0 pp and the proportion of incidents conveyed to non-ED decreased by 0.4 pp.



**Demand** - On scene response demand was 1.8% above forecasted figures for June. It was 0.3% lower compared to May and 1.5% higher compared to









### **IUC IPR Key Indicators - June 25**

Indicator	Target	Actual	Variance	Assurance
IUC - Calls Answered		133,495	(a) / ba	
IUC - Answered vs. Last Month %		-10.7%		
IUC - Answered vs. Last Year %		-4.4%		
IUC - Calls Triaged		128,032		
IUC - Calls Abandoned %	3.0%	2.6%	(a, ^ b a)	
IUC - Answer Mean	00:00:20	00:00:34	(a, ^ b, o)	
IUC - Answered in 60 Secs %	90.0%	85.2%	(a, ^.)	
IUC - Answered in 120 secs %	95.0%	89.8%	Ha	F
IUC - Callback in 1 Hour %	60.0%	47.4%	(a, ^)	
IUC - ED Validations %	50.0%	78.1%	Ha	
IUC - 999 Validations %	95.0%	99.8%	(a, /)	P
IUC - ED %		16.6%	Ha	
IUC - ED Outcome to A&E %		75.6%	(a, ), a	
IUC - ED Outcome to UTC %		11.4%	(a, 1/2 a)	
IUC - Ambulance %		12.3%	(~^~)	

#### <u> C Exceptions - Comments (Director Responsible - Nick Smith)</u>

received 147,491 calls in June, 4.4% below the annual business plan baseline demand. 133,495 (90.5%) of these were answered, 10.7% below month and 4.4% below the same month last year.

e reporting rules for telephony performance were updated in August 2024 to be in line with the decision paper approved in TEG on 24/07/24. ese updated figures have been backdated to March 2023. Whilst it is no longer a national KPI, we are continuing to monitor the percentage of answered in 60 seconds, as it is well recognised within the IUC service and operations as a benchmark of overall performance. This measure creased to 85.2% from 91.3% in June. Average speed to answer has increased by 17 seconds to 34 seconds compared with 17 seconds last onth. Abandonment rate increased to 2.6% from 1.3% last month.

e proportion of clinician call backs made within 1 hour increased to 47.4% from 46.7% last month. This is 12.6% below the national target of 6. Core clinical advice increased to 24.8% from 24.4% last month. These figures are calculated based on the new ADC specification, which noves 111 online cases from counting as part of clinical advice, and also locally we are removing cases which come from the DCABS clinical vice as we do not receive the initial calls for these cases.

e national KPI for ambulance validations monitors performance against outcomes validated within 30 minutes, rather than just all outcomes idated, and the target for this is 75% of outcomes. However, YAS is still measured against a local target of 95% of outcomes validated overall. ainst the National KPI, performance was 94.6% in June, whilst performance for overall validations was 99.8%, with 12,821 cases validated

validation performance remained level with last month at 78.1%. The target for this KPI is 50%. ED validation continues to be driven down ce the implementation of 111 First and the prioritisation of UTCs over validation services for cases with an initial ED outcome. Previous analysis owed that if cases now going to UTCs that would have gone to validation previously were no longer included in the denominator for the idation calculation, YAS would have met and exceeded the 50% target every month this year.

hongst booking KPIs, bookings to UTCs increased to 38.5% from 33.9% last month and ED bookings decreased to 0.1% from 0.2%. Referrals to C Treatments Centres have stayed consistent, however an issue with the booking system is causing the bookings figure for this KPI to appear Ty low. Bookings into the Emergency Department have dropped to 0% due to the booking system being switched off at the end of June 2024. It will see ED bookings at 0% until a new booking system is implemented.

### **PTS IPR Key Indicators - June 25**

- Indicator	Target	Actual	Variance	Assurance
PTS - Answered < 180 Secs	90.0%	80.2%	<b>a b a</b>	F
PTS - % Short notice - Vehicle at location < 120 mins	90.8%	76.3%		F
PTS - % Pre Planned - Vehicle at location < 90 Mins	90.4%	89.1%	(a) / ba	F
PTS - Arrive at Appointment Time	90.0%	89.4%	(a) / bo	F
PTS - Journeys < 120Mins	90.0%	96.9%		
PTS - Same Month Last Year		-10.9%		
PTS - Increase - Previous Month		-3.3%		
PTS - Demand (Journeys)		70,645	(a, / b, a)	

#### PTS Exceptions - Comments (Director Responsible - Nick Smith)

PTS activity reduced for the third month running due to the Eligibility programme. 70,645 journeys were operated, 10.9% lower than June 2024.

Patient journeys (inc Aborts) were 5.8% under the Business Plan forecast for June, and 5.0% under YTD.


The Eligibility Programme continues to have a positive impact, with low acuity bookings (Saloon Car & Wheelchair 1) reducing by 50.5% compared to June 24, and activity for the same journey types decreasing by 35.7%.

The programme also contributed to a 19.0% decrease in Escort activity, and a 4.2% reduction in the volume of aborted journeys. Significant cost efficiencies in Taxi spend has also been seen.

Call Performance saw an 11.8% increase compared to May. 38,586 calls were received by Reservations, the lowest call activity since March 2024. Although AHT saw a 20 second increase, lower call demand had a positive impact on service level.

Short Notice Outwards Performance has been under 80.0% for the third month running, with the last two months being under the lower control limit for this KPI. 76.3% of patients were picked up from hospital with 120 minutes – a 4.5% decrease to June 2024.

All other KPI's were in line with recent trends.




# **Workforce Summary**


Key KPIs				
Name	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-25	
Turnover (FTE) %	34.7%	23.8%	22.8%	
Vacancy Rate %	22.3%	14.1%	13.1%	
BME %	24.1%	31.5%	32.0%	
Disabled %	13.0%	14.2%	13.9%	
Sickness - Total % (T-5%)	10.1%	11.4%	11.2%	
PDR / Staff Appraisals % (T-90%)	86.4%	76.7%	78.7%	
Essential Learning	93.2%	88.6%	88.0%	

Assurance: All data displayed has been checked and verified

### YAS Commentary

active participant in the national review of Statutory and Mandatory training.









# YAS Finance Summary (Director Responsible Kathryn Vause) - June 25

### **Overview - Unaudited Position**

#### **Overall** -

The Trust has a month 3 Surplus position of £376k as shown above. The Trust plan is to achieve breakeven for 2025/26.

#### Capital -

The outturn expenditure was above plan but forecast to be within the allocation provided.

#### Cash -

As at the end of June, the Trust had £42.7m cash at bank. (£44.1m at the end of 24/25).

#### Risk Rating -

There is currently no risk rating measure reporting for 2025/26.

Full Year Position (£000s)					
Name	YTD Plan	YTD	YTD Plan v		
_		Actual	Actual		
<ul><li>Surplus/</li><li>(Deficit)</li></ul>	£118	£376	£258		
Cash	£50,742	£42,692	-£8,050		
Capital	£2,432	£2,743	£311		

Monthl	y View (	(£000s)	
Indicator Name ▼	2025-04	2025-05	2025-06
Surplus/ (Deficit)	-£24	£191	£209
Cash	£44,480	£42,692	£41,487
Capital	£1,566	£148	£1,029





# **Patient Demand Summary**

Demand Summary			
Indicator	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-25
999 - Incidents (HT+STR+STC)	74,467	74,649	74,235
999 - Calls Answered	89,065	67,806	70,683
IUC - Calls Answered	139,699	149,434	133,495
IUC - Calls Answered vs. Ceiling %	-11.1%	-12.2%	-15.1%
PTS - Demand (Journeys)	79,280	73,074	70,645
PTS - Increase - Previous Month	-6.6%	-4.5%	-3.3%
PTS - Same Month Last Year	1.5%	-13.9%	-10.9%
PTS - Calls Answered	39,082	35,531	35,443


#### Commentary

to June 2024.

IUC - YAS received 147,491 calls in June, 4.4% below the annual business plan baseline demand. 133,495 (90.5%) of these were answered, 10.7% below last month and 4.4% below the same month last year.

June 2024.

#### Overall Calls and Demand






999 - On scene response demand was 1.8% above forecasted figures for June. It was 0.3% lower compared to May and 1.5% higher compared

**PTS** - PTS activity reduced for the third month running due to the Eligibility programme. 70,645 journeys were operated, 10.9% lower than







### **999 and IUC Historic Demand**

999 and IUC call demand broken down by calls forecast, calls offered and calls abandoned.





#### <u>999</u>

999 data on this page includes calls on both the emergency and nonemergency applications within EOC. The forecast relates to the expected volume of calls offered in EOC, which is the total volume of calls answered and abandoned. The difference between calls offered and abandoned is calls answered.

In June 2025, there were 71,464 calls offered which was 5.3% above forecast, with 70,683 calls answered and 781 calls abandoned (1.1%). There were 4.7% more calls offered compared with the previous month and 20.3% fewer calls offered compared with the same month the previous year. Historically, the number of abandoned calls has been very low, however, this has increased since April 2021 and remains relatively high, fluctuating each month. There was a 61.4% increase in abandoned calls compared with the previous month.

#### <u>IUC</u>


YAS received 147,491 calls in June, 4.4% below the annual business plan baseline demand. 133,495 (90.5%) of these were answered, 10.7% below last month and 4.4% below the same month last year.

Calls abandoned increased to 2.6% from 1.3% last month and was 1.0% above last year

Please note: the reporting rules for telephony performance were updated in August 2024 to be in line with the decision paper approved in TEG on 24/07/24. These updated figures have been backdated to March 2023. Any queries please contact us at yas.businessintelligence@nhs.net.

### **Patient Outcomes Summary**

Outcomes Summary				999 Outcomes
ShortName	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-25	● 999 - Hear & Ti
999 - Incidents (HT+STR+STC)	74,467	74,649	74,235	
999 - Hear & Treat %	15.3%	14.0%	13.8%	
999 - See, Treat & Refer %	25.8%	26.7%	27.2%	50%
999 - See, Treat & Convey %	58.9%	59.2%	59.0%	••
999 - Conveyance to ED %	52.6%	52.6%	52.3%	
999 - Conveyance to Non ED %	6.3%	6.6%	6.6%	0%
IUC - Calls Triaged	136,313	143,043	128,032	Jan 2023
IUC - ED %	15.1%	15.9%	16.6%	IUC Outcomes
IUC - Ambulance %	12.3%	11.6%	12.3%	● IUC - ED % ● I
IUC - Selfcare %	4.2%	3.5%	3.6%	20
IUC - Other Outcome %	15.4%	15.0%	16.7%	
IUC - Primary Care %	52.3%	52.7%	52.7%	
PTS - Demand (Journeys)	79,280	73,074	70,645	10



#### Commentary

999 - Comparing incident outcome proportions within 999 for June against May, the proportion of hear & treat decreased by 0.5 percentage points (pp), see treat & refer decreased by 0.1 pp and see treat & convey increased by 0.6 pp. The proportion of incidents with conveyance to ED increased by 1.0 pp and the proportion of incidents conveyed to non-ED decreased by 0.4 pp.

IUC - The proportion of callers given an Ambulance outcome was 12.0%, with Primary Care outcomes at 52.7%. The proportion of callers given an ED outcome was 16.6%. The percentage of ED outcomes where a patient was referred to a UTC was 11.4%, a figure that historically has been as low as 2-3%. A key goal of the 111 first programme was to reduce the burden on emergency departments by directing patient to more appropriate care settings.










### **Patient Experience (Director Responsible - Dave Green)**

Patient Relations						
Indicator	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-25			
Service to Service	110	118	89			
Concern	38	29	35			
Compliment	119	120	91			
Complaint	74	66	61			
Total	119	120	91			



2020

#### YAS Comments


Service-to-service numbers decreased from 118 in May to 89 in June, remaining below last year's figure of 110 for the same period. The most significant reduction occurred in A&E, and PTS also recorded fewer cases. Concerns increased slightly from 29 in May to 35 in June, though this is marginally lower than last year's count of 38. A&E experienced the largest increase, but with local resolution being implemented, this number may decrease in future months.

Compliments fell to 91 in June, which is below the average of 120.


Complaints remained relatively stable at 61, a decrease compared to 74 last year. PTS complaints declined from 19 last year to 11 this month.


The average response time for formal complaints was 79 days in June,

representing a positive step towards the Trust's business objective of reducing cumulative response times to 87 days.









Concern

2022




2024

2022

2024

### Service to Service






### Patient Safety - Quality (Director Responsible - Dave Green)

Incidents			
Indicator	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-2
All Incidents Reported	876	956	990
Number of duty of candour contacts	3	9	17
Number of RIDDORs Submitted	3	4	7
Patient Safety Indicator Incident Investigation	1		1

	Apr 24	Mar 25	Apr 25
Moderate & Above Harm (verified)	19	17	11
Patient Incidents - Major, Catastrophic, Catastrophic (death) (verified)	9	4	2

Safeguarding			
Indicator	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-25
Rapid Review		2	3
Child Safeguarding Practice Review			
Domestic Homicide Review (DHR)	4	1	3
Safeguarding Adult Review (SAR)	4	19	16
Child Death	11	14	15

A&E Long Responses			
Indicator	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-
999 - C1 Responses > 15 Mins	775 2,508	552	554
999 - C2 Responses > 80 Mins	2,508	1,125	1,30




25-ו

- 54
- 302

<u>Click information button for Monthly View</u>



### **Patient Clinical Effectiveness**



	YAS	HC&V	SYB W	Yorkshire Ambulance Service
<b>ROSC</b> Mar-25	<b>ROSC Care Bundle</b> Mar-25		<b>to Discharge</b> ⁄lar-25	<b>Cardiac Surv</b> Mar-25
36.7%	69.4%	1	2.1%	26
MM			$\sim\sim$	
	<ul> <li>Cardiac Arrest - Significant improve patients survived to discharge follo</li> </ul>		-	-
	<b>Heart attack</b> - Care bundle complia	nce improved to	65%	
	Re- Contacts - Overall re- contact ra patients who were attended but no underway which will look at a more	ot conveyed. Ther	e is currently a na	ational re- contact clinical au
Bundle Compliance Jan-25	<b>Stroke</b> : The number of stroke patie	nts has fallen, an	d average call to c	door remains the same.
65.0%	Recontact metrics have recently been de Recontacts data is exported monthly an of this, calls that had previously been fle in future exports. 'Frequent Callers' hav instances where a patient has called fro	nd includes initial ca agged as a subsequ re been removed fro	lls and subsequent o ent calls in previous om Recontacts metri	calls within the month + 72 Hou data sets may be classified as a
<b>STC %</b> Jun-25 <b>5.4%</b>	<b>Please Note:</b> February and Mar will be backdated in a future rep		st data is incomp	plete due to a technical is
















issue, and

### **Fleet and Estates**

Estates			
Indicator	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-25
P1 Emergency (<2Hrs) – Attendance	75.0%		
P1 Emergency (<24 Hrs) - Completed	75.0%		
P2 Emergency (<4 Hrs) - Attendance	90.0%	90.3%	86.7%
P2 Emergency (<24 Hrs) – Completed	72.5%	61.3%	82.2%
P3 Non Emergency (<24Hrs) - Attendance	92.5%	95.2%	96.4%
P3 Non Emergency (<72 Hrs) – Completed	71.6%	82.3%	92.7%
P4 Non Emergency (<2 Working Days) - Attendance	86.3%	91.9%	100.0%
P4 Non Emergency (<14 Days) – Completed	81.1%	85.1%	90.4%
P6 Non Emergency (<2 Weeks) - Attendance	91.4%	78.1%	89.5%
P6 Non Emergency (4 Weeks) - Completed	75.9%	78.1%	86.8%
Planned Maintenance Complete	95.6%	99.0%	92.0%

### 999 Fleet







		Estates Comments
May-25	Jun-25	Requests for reactive work/repairs on the Estate totalled 211 jobs fo average 300 repairs requests within month. As usual, Springhill rema
90.3% 51.3% 95.2% 32.3% 91.9% 35.1%	86.7% 82.2% 96.4% 92.7% 100.0% 90.4%	followed by Callflex at 8 and HART at 7 requests for reactive works. S attendance KPI at 94%, completion KPI is also relatively high at 89%. The other categories aside the P1 & P2 emergency works are - P3 att days. The P3 category accounts for just over a quarter of request wit category account for just over a third of requests with attendance KP Maintenance activity on the Estate carried out by our service provide maintenance is recorded at 95% for June with a completion of 92%
78.1% 78.1% 78.1%	89.5% 86.8% 92.0%	

999 Fleet Age				PTS Age		
999 Fleet Age Indicator	Jun-24	May-25	Jun-25	PTS Age Indicator	Jun-24	May-25

### Fleet Comments

1.1%

Vehicle age +10

Due to an issue with the system, the safety check and service figures for this month will be delayed. Currently, there is missing data for September 24 - April 25, which will be backdated in a future report.


0.6%

Vehicle age +10

6.5%

active work/repairs on the Estate totalled 211 jobs for the month of June. This is significantly lower than the pairs requests within month. As usual, Springhill remains the largest requester for service at 25 requests Iflex at 8 and HART at 7 requests for reactive works. SLA figures are relatively high with at an overall

gories aside the P1 & P2 emergency works are - P3 attend within 24 hours and P4 which is attend within 2 tegory accounts for just over a quarter of request with attendance KPI at 96% against a target of 98%. P4 nt for just over a third of requests with attendance KPI at 100% against a target of 90%. Planned ctivity on the Estate carried out by our service provider to attended to Statutory, mandatory and routine



# **Glossary - Indicator Descriptions (A&E)**

A&E			
mID	ShortName	IndicatorType	AQIDescription
AMB01	999 - Total Calls via Telephony (AQI)	int	Count of all calls answered.
AMB07	999 - Incidents (HT+STR+STC)	int	Count of all incidents.
AMB59	999 - C1 Responses > 15 Mins	int	Count of Cat 1 incidents with a response time greater than the 90th percentile target.
AMB60	999 - C2 Responses > 80 Mins	int	Count of Cat 2 incidents with a response time greater than 2 x the 90th percentile target.
AMB56	999 - Face to Face Incidents (STR + STC)	int	Count of incidents dealt with face to face.
AMB17	999 - Hear and Treat (HT)	int	Count of incidents not receiving a face-to-face response.
AMB53	999 - Conveyance to ED	int	Count of incidents with any patients transported to an Emergency Department (ED), including incidents where the department transported to is not specified.
AMB54	999 - Conveyance to Non ED	int	Count of incidents with any patients transported to any facility other than an Emergency Department.
AMB55	999 - See, Treat and Refer (STR)	int	Count of incidents with face-to-face response, but no patients transported.
AMB75	999 - Calls Abandoned	int	Number of calls abandoned
AMB74	999 - Calls Answered	int	Number of calls answered
AMB72	999 - Calls Expected	int	Number of calls expected
AMB76	999 - Duplicate Calls	int	Number of calls for the same issue
AMB73	999 - Calls Offered	int	Number of calls offered
AMB00	999 - Total Number of Calls	int	The count of all ambulance control room contacts.
AMB88	999 - Calls Answered over 2 mins	int	The number of calls answered after more than 2 minutes
AMB94	999 - Total lost handover time	int	The total lost handover time over 30 minutes
AMB90	999 - Total Hospital Lost Time (TA)	int	The total lost time for hospital turnarounds (time over 30 minutes)



# **Glossary - Indicator Descriptions (IUC and PTS)**

IUC and F	PTS		
mID	ShortName	IndicatorType	AQIDescription
IUC12	IUC - ED Validations %	percent	Proportion of calls initially given an ED disposition that are validated
IUC14	IUC - ED %	percent	Percentage of triaged calls that reached an Emergency Department out
IUC15	IUC - Ambulance %	percent	Percentage of triaged calls that reached an ambulance dispatch outcor
IUC16	IUC - Selfcare %	percent	Percentage of triaged calls that reached an self care outcome
IUC17	IUC - Other Outcome %	percent	Percentage of triaged calls that reached any other outcome
IUC18	IUC - Primary Care %	percent	Percentage of triaged calls that reached a Primary Care outcome
PTS01	PTS - Demand (Journeys)	int	Count of delivered journeys, aborted journeys and escorts on journeys
PTS02	PTS - Journeys < 120Mins	percent	Patients picked up and dropped off within 120 minutes
PTS03	PTS - Arrive at Appointment Time	percent	Patients dropped off at hospital before Appointment Time
PTS06	PTS - Answered < 180 Secs	percent	The percentage of calls answered within 180 seconds via the telephony





outcome

ome

ny system



### **Glossary - Indicator Descriptions (Quality and Safety)**

Quality	and Safety		
mlD	ShortName	IndicatorType	AQIDescription
QS24	Staff survey improvement question	int	(TBC, yearly)
QS75	Child Safeguarding Practice Review	int	Child Safeguarding Practice Review
QS74	Rapid Review	int	Rapid Review
QS21	Number of RIDDORs Submitted	int	Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dan
QS27	Serious incidents (verified)	int	The number of verfied Serious Incidents



ingerous Occurrences Regulations 2013

nts reported on DATIX



# **Glossary - Indicator Descriptions (Workforce)**

Workfo	rce		
mID ▼	ShortName	IndicatorType	AQIDescrip
WF40	Essential Learning	percent	Essential Lear
WF39	Preventing Radicalisation - Basic Prevent Awareness - 3 Years	percent	Basic Prevent
WF38	Prevent Awareness   3 Years	percent	Full Prevent A
WF37	Fire Safety - 2 Years	percent	Percentage o
WF34	Fire Safety & Awareness - 1 Year	percent	Percentage o
WF33	Information Governance - 1 Year	percent	Percentage o
WF28	Safeguarding Adults Level 2 - 3 Years	percent	Percentage o
WF24	Safeguarding Adults Level 1 - 3 Years	percent	Percentage o
WF13	Stat & Mand Training (Safeguarding L2 +)	percent	Percentage o "Prevent WR/
WF14	Stat & Mand Training (Face to Face)	percent	Percentage o Resolution" a
WF12	Stat & Mand Training (Core) 3Y	percent	Percentage o "Infection Co "Equality, Div
WF11	Stat & Mand Training (Fire & IG) 1Y	percent	Percentage o
WF05	PDR / Staff Appraisals % (T-90%)	percent	Percentage o
WF35	Special Leave	percent	Special Leave
WF07	Sickness - Total % (T-5%)	percent	All Sickness a
WF16	Disabled %	percent	The percenta
WF02	BME %	percent	The percenta
WF17	Apprentice %	percent	The percenta
WF19	Vacancy Rate %	percent	Full Time Equ
WF04	Turnover (FTE) %	percent	The number of Months rollin
WF36	Headcount in Post	int	Headcount o
WF18	FTE in Post %	percent	Full Time Equ

### iption

arning to Replace Bundles

nt Awareness, formerly Prevent Awareness

Awareness, formerly Prevent WRAP

of staff with an in date competency in Fire Safety - 2 Years

of staff with an in date competency in Fire Safety & Awareness - 1 Year

of staff with an in date competency in Information Governance - 1 Year

of staff with an in date competency in Safeguarding Adults Level 2 - 3 Years

of staff with an in date competency in Safeguarding Adults Level 1 - 3 Years

of staff with an in date competency for "Safeguarding Children Level 2", "Safeguarding Adults Level 2" and RAP" as required by the competency requirements set in ESR

of staff with an in date competency for "Basic Life Support", "Moving and Handling Patients" and "Conflict as required by the competency requirements set in ESR

of staff with an in date competency for "Health Risk & Safety Awareness" , "Moving and Handling Loads" , ontrol" , "Safeguarding Children Level 1" , "Safeguarding Adults Level 1" , "Prevent Awareness" and iversity and Human Rights" as required by the competency requirements set in ESR

of staff with an in date competency for both "Information Governance" and "Fire Safety & Awareness"

of staff with an in date Personal Development Review, also known as an Appraisal

ve (eg: Carers leave, compassionate leave) as a percentage of FTE days in the period.

as a percentage of FTE days in the period

age of staff who identify as being disabled

age of staff who identify as belonging to a Black or Minority Ethnic background

age of staff who are on an apprenticeship


uivalent Staff required to fill the budgeted amount as a percentage

r of Fixed Term/ Permanent Employees leaving FTE (all reasons) relative to the average FTE in post in a 12 ing period

of primary assignments

uivalent Staff in post, calculated as a percentage of the budgeted amount





# **Glossary - Indicator Descriptions (Clinical)**

Clinical			
mID ▼	ShortName	IndicatorType	Des
CLN59	Re-contacts - STC	int	Total remo
CLN57	Re-contacts - ST	int	Total calle
CLN55	Re-contacts - HT	int	Total calle
CLN53	Re-contacts - Total Calls	int	Tota
CLN50	Number of Fall Patients	int	Num
CLN48	Average Time From Call to Catheter Insertion For Angiography (STEMI)	int	Aver
CLN47	Average Stroke On Scene Time Minutes	int	Aver
CLN44	Number of Cardiac Arrests	int	Num
CLN42	STEMI Pre & Post Pain Score	int	Num pain
CLN40	Number of patients who received appropriate analgesia (STEMI)	int	Num analg
CLN32	Survival UTSTEIN - Patients Discharged Alive	int	Surv
CLN28	ROSC UTSTEIN Patients	int	ROS
CLN21	Call to Balloon Mins for STEMI Patients (90th Percentile)	int	MIN
CLN20	Call to Balloon Mins for STEMI Patients (Mean)	int	MIN
CLN18	Number of STEMI Patients	int	Num
CLN17	Average Stroke Call to Door Minutes (SSNAP)	int	SSN
CLN16	Number of Stroke Patients (SSNAP)	int	Total
CLN08	Number of STEMI Patients	int	Num
CLN04	Number of Patients Surviving to Discharge	int	Num cardi

### escription

al number of conveyed calls which resulted in a re-contact to YAS within 72 hours. Managed frequent callers noved.

al number of see and treat calls which resulted in a re-contact to YAS within 72 hours. Managed frequent lers removed.

al number of hear and treat calls which resulted in a re-contact to YAS within 72 hours. Managed frequent lers removed.

al number of calls which resulted in a re-contact to YAS within 72 hours. Managed frequent callers removed.

mber of Fall Patients

erage Heart Attack Call to Door Minutes

erage Stroke On Scene Time Minutes

mber of Cardiac Arrests

mber of patients with a pre-hospital clinical working impression of STEMI who had a pre & post analgesia n score recorded as part of their patient record

mber of patients with a pre- hospital clinical working impression of STEMI who received the appropriate algesia

vival UTSTEIN - Of R4n, patients discharged from hospital alive.

SC UTSTEIN - Patients with resuscitation commenced / continued by Ambulance Service.

NAP - For M3n, 90th centile time from call to catheter insertion for angiography.

NAP - For M3n, mean average time from call to catheter insertion for angiography.

mber of patients in the MINAP dataset an initial diagnosis of myocardial infarction.

NAP - Avg Time from call to hospital.

al number of patients included in the SSNAP hospital data sample.

mber of patients with a pre-hospital clinical working impression of STEMI

mber of patients who survived to discharge or were alive in hospital after 30 days following an out of hospital diac arrest during which YAS continued or commenced resuscitation





### **Glossary - Indicator Descriptions (Fleet and Estates)**

Fleet and	Estates		
mID ▼	ShortName	IndicatorType	Des
FLE07	Service %	percent	Serv
FLE06	Safety Check %	percent	Safe
FLE05	SLW %	percent	Serv
			con
FLE04	Vehicle MOT %	percent	MO
FLE03	Vehicle Availability	percent	Ava
FLE02	Vehicle age +10	percent	Veh
FLE01	Vehicle age 7-10	percent	Veh
EST10	Planned Maintenance Complete	percent	Plar
EST15	P5 Non Emergency - Logged to Wrong Category	percent	P5 I
EST14	P6 Non Emergency (4 Weeks) - Completed	percent	P6 I
EST13	P6 Non Emergency (<2 Weeks) - Attendance	percent	P6 I
EST05	Planned Maintenance Attendance	percent	Ave
EST09	All calls (Completion) - average	percent	Ave
EST04	All calls (Attendance) - average	percent	All
EST08	P4 Non Emergency (<14 Days) – Completed	percent	P4
EST03	P4 Non Emergency (<2 Working Days) - Attendance	percent	P4
EST07	P3 Non Emergency (<72 Hrs) – Completed	percent	P3 I
EST02	P3 Non Emergency (<24Hrs) - Attendance	percent	P3 I
EST12	P2 Emergency (<24 Hrs) – Completed	percent	P2
EST11	P2 Emergency (<4 Hrs) - Attendance	percent	P2
EST06	P1 Emergency (<24 Hrs) - Completed	percent	P1
EST01	P1 Emergency (<2Hrs) – Attendance	percent	P1 I



### escription

rvice level compliance	
fety check compliance	
vice LOLER (Lifting Operations and Lifting Equipment Regulations) and weight test mpliance	
OT compliance	
ailability of fleet across the trust	
hicles across the fleet of 10 years or more	
hicles across the fleet of 7 years or more	
nned maintenance completion compliance	
Non Emergency - Logged to Wrong Category	
Non Emergency - Complete within 4 weeks	
Non Emergency - Attend within 2 weeks	
erage attendance compliance across all calls	
erage completion compliance across all calls	
calls (Attendance) - average	
Non Emergency completed within 14 working days compliance	
Non Emergency attended within 2 working days compliance	
Non Emergency completed within 72 hours compliance	
Non Emergency attended within 24 hours compliance	
Emergency – Complete within 24 hrs compliance	
Emergency – attend within 4 hrs compliance	
Emergency completed within 24 hours compliance	

Emergency attended within 2 hours compliance



		-